Distant Acting Enhancers in Craniofacial Development

Catia Attanasio¹, Yiwen Zhu¹, Matthew J. Blow², Alexander S. Nord¹, Veena Afzal¹, Harris Morrison⁴, David FitzPatrick⁴, Edward M. Rubin^{1,2}, Benedikt Hallgrimsson³, Len A. Pennacchio^{1,2}, Axel Visel^{1,2}

> ¹Genomics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA. ² U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, CA 94598 USA. ³ Department of Cell Biology & Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, University of Calgary, Alberta, Canada ⁴ MRC Human Genetics Unit, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, Edinburgh

Background

• The shape of the human face and skull is highly heritable, but the genetic factors that contribute to normal variation in craniofacial morphology remain poorly defined.

• Arrays of non-coding elements modulate the expression of 'core craniofacial genes'. Variation within those elements could contribute to natural phenotypic variation of face morphology and represent risk factors for craniofacial birth defects.

2) Facebase Update - Data available on the Hub

P300 ChIP-seq (& matched RNA-seq)

Collection of E11.5 facial tissue Collection of secondary palates



Snapshot of ChIP-seq and RNA-seq tracks currently available on the FaceBase Hub

-	1		1000	A CINES							Conversion of	N/08-11
ion/se	arch	chr3:121,272,771-122,628,790			gene		jump	clear	size 1,356,0	020 bp.	conf	
1.12	10	22.2.5		20201000		3.1		C. Carlo	2.6	CALL BY	6.8.6	1000
	0.00		AA	0.00	0		0	0	o	4	0	

- To identify craniofacial / palatal in vivo enhancers we have performed ChIP-seq on these tissues with the enhancerassociated protein p300. We validated the potential of identified bound regions as craniofacial enhancers through mouse transgenesis for a subset of them.
- To investigate the functional contribution of these enhancers to normal craniofacial development, we have selected 3 of them for knockout in mouse. 'Target' gene expression and skull morphology analyses of these mice are both consistent with a general role of in vivo enhancer in determining adult craniofacial morphology.

Craniofacial Enhancer knockouts

- Selection criteria for enhancers to knockout
- Gene expression phenotypes (qPCR)
- Highly reproducible craniofacial enhancers - Non-redundant *in vivo* activity with neighbouring enhancers - Map within a craniofacial gene locus

- Subregions of the faces were dissected for both WT and KO e11.5 mouse embryos (littermates)



1) Enhancer 746 - Mxs1 locus









2) Enhancer 1431- *Snai2* locus



3) Enhancer 586 - Isl1 locus







* Shown is the relative expression of the target gene within each individual (samples not pooled). Errors bars (SEM) show inter-individual expression variations.



 3 movie (.avi) file sagittal, or transverse Coronal plane movie Sagittal plane movie Sagittal plane movie Transverse plane movie 3 files in .tgz form files, each of which transverse/sagittal/ of interest in .png in linux, treat the file at Tested element.tt 	s: 3 movies representing a se plane. To download a n ie novie nat. Each of these correspon represents the individual fra coronal movies, can be use nage format). [.tgz files can s a gzipped .tar file: gunzip < xt: A text file that includes inf he enhancer is active, if any	DATASET ARCHIVE 30.53 MB LOG IN TO DOWNLOAD FaceBase Data Terms of Use			
300139	300140	300141	300142	300143	300144
300149	300150	300151	300152	300153	300154
300159	300160	300161	300162	300163	300164

ary of the annotation of the expression pattern, the DNA sequence of the tested element in

sta format, and the sequences of the primers used to generate the test construct. To see this nation in the Vista Enhancer Browser at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, click here

Axel Visel

November 3, 2011 - 12:29pm

Micro-CT scans 4)

Morphometric analysis of WT and KO adult skulls (8 weeks old)

- 20 adult KO mice / genotype

- 60 matched WT (littermates) with same genetic background (C57/SvJ129) - Additional matched WT (non-littermates) with same genetic background - Analysis of 50 hallmarks



Conclusions

• Using p300 ChIP-seq in e11.5 faces and e13.5 secondary palates we identified thousands of distant-acting enhancers that likely orchestrate gene expression during craniofacial/palate development.

• In vivo dissection of the regulatory landscape of craniofacial genes reveals the complexity of enhancer arrays involved in their regulation.

• In vivo functional characterization of a subset of craniofacial enhancers demonstrates that enhancers can contribute to normal phenotypic variation and support the notion that they likely contribute to pathological aberrations of craniofacial morphology.